

## I had a '66 2+2

Posted by mrpontiac65 - 2011/02/20 01:36

---

I had a '66 2+2 years ago I let slip away. Originaly 421 4bbl 4-speed Posi. When I got it the owner kept the 421 so I put a 389 in it. Rode nice and ran pretty good for only being a 389. It ran so good that one day it burnt the tires all the way thru low and when I hit second shelled the tranny. Ended up selling it cause I couldn't find a 4-speed for it.

=====

## Re:I had a '66 2+2

Posted by OldInjun - 2011/02/20 15:25

---

Yes, it doesn't have to be a 421 to boil the tires. My first car was a '58 Bonneville convertible with a stock 370/300hp with Tri-Power and a hydramatic. It would lay rubber all the way from 0-40mph if you didn't lift your foot.

=====

## Re: I had a '66 2+2

Posted by dualquad - 2012/12/05 20:26

---

How long ago was this & what colour was the car, friend of mine has a "few" 66 2+2's & one has had a 389 put in it from being a 421 car, & is a 4 spd??? HHmmm I wonder?? Pete

=====

## Re: I had a '66 2+2

Posted by mrpontiac65 - 2012/12/06 03:37

---

dualquad wrote:

How long ago was this & what colour was the car, friend of mine has a "few" 66 2+2's & one has had a 389 put in it from being a 421 car, & is a 4 spd??? HHmmm I wonder?? Pete

It was white with a black vynil top. Sorry to say but the guy I sold it to put a automatic in it and drove it for about a year then pulled the engine and tranny and crushed it.I think that was back in '80.

[http://www.pontiac2plus2registry.com/images/fbfiles/images/66\\_22.jpg](http://www.pontiac2plus2registry.com/images/fbfiles/images/66_22.jpg)

=====